

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/523,054 | 03/10/2000 | Shyam S. Mohapatra | 0152.00355 | 4567 | |
| 75 | 90 01/31/2003 | | | _ | |
| Kenneth I Kohn | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Kohn and Assoc | ciates | SCHEINER, LAURIE A | | | |
| 30500 Northwe Farmington Hill | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| rainington ini | 13, 1411 40554 | | 1648 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003 | 16 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | A1 | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | F | EX | EXAMINER | |
| | | - - | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | . \8 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| A.I. | E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|-----------|
| a) 🗌 | is extended to run | _ or continues to run | from the date | of the final rejection | |
| b) 🗵 | expires three months from the date of event however, will the statutory period | the final rejection or as of the d for the response expire lat | e mailing date of this Advisor er than six months from the d | y Action, whichever is later. In no late of the final rejection. | |
| | Any extension of time must be obtained. The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the control of t | petition, and the fee have be extension and the correspor | een filed is the date of the res nding amount of the fee. Any | ponse and also the date for the extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR | |
| | opellant's Brief is due in accordance with | 1 '1' | | | |
| * AF | oplicant's response to the final rejection, place the application in condition for all | filed 12103 hapwance: | as been considered with the fo | ollowing effect, but it is not deemed | |
| الر ۱۰ | The proposed amendments to the dair | n and /or specification will no | ot be entered and the final rej | ection stands because: | |
| | a. There is no convincing showing presented. | under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why | the proposed amendment is | necessary and was not earlier | |
| | b. They raise new issues that would | d require further consideration | on and/or search. (See Note) | | • |
| | c. They raise the issue of new mat | ter. (See Note). | | | |
| | d. They are not deemed to place appeal. | the application in better form | for appeal by materially redu | icing or simplifying the issues for | |
| | e. They present additional claims | without cancelling a correspo | onding number of finally rejec | ted claims. | |
| | Since THE REC | TATION OF "AC | entered Woult Bent" Has Been 12015M Has B Well as Dow | Narkowey To "Blo ken amended such | CKER"; |
| 2. | Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims. | would be | e allowed if submitted in a sep | parately filed amendment cancelling | uccirevi. |
| 3. | Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows: | d amendment 🔲 will be er | ntered will not be entered | and the status of the claims will | |
| | Claims allowed: | | | | |
| | Claims objected to: | 0-12 | | | |
| | However; | | | | |
| | Applicant's response has overcon | ne the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 4. 🗆 | The affidavit, exhibit or request for rec | onsideration has been consi | dered but does not overcome | the rejection because | |
| 5. 🔲 | The affidavit or exhibit will not be consi | idered because applicant ha | s not shown good and suffice | nt reasons why it was not earlier | |
| ☐ The | proposed drawing correction has | has not been approve | d by the examiner. | 0 - | _ |
| | · · · - | | , | (1000.000 | 5 |
| | | | | 1 AURIE SCHENIER | (|

PRIMARY CARLLES

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)